Radial-to-Peripheral Interventions For Lower Extremity Arterial Lesions (PAD): An Update On Available Tools And Techniques And Precautions: What's Possible And What's Not Craig M. Walker, MD With Withow What Not Precise and States and States

Cardiovascular Institute

Discolosures • Abott - Cardioflow • Bard - Cardioflow • Bard - Eemoral • Boston Scientific - Euphrates Medical • Cordis - Euphrates Medical • Cordis - Poytant Solutions • Medronic - Poytant Solutions • Abbott - Asahi • Abstrates - Bard • Speaker's Bureau: - Asahi • Abstrates - Bard • Bard - Poytings • Bard - Bard • Bard - Bard • Bard - Bard • Bard - Philips • Dostringer-Ingelheim - Philips • Junssen Harmaceuticals - Philips

Why Radial Access? (ANATOMY)

Why Radial Approach for Peripheral Intervention?

- Much less bleeding complications
 Patient comfort
- Patient comfort
 Obese and underweight patients
 Early ambulation and discharge
- Less cost (No VCD's required)
 Patients who can't stop anticoagulants

No compression of the treated artery



Less radiation exposure

Brachial and Axillary

Mesenteric Vessels

Subclavian

Aortoiliacs

Carotid

Renals

Femoral

Popliteal

Can approach all peripheral arteries in antegrade fashion

What can be treated via radial access

 Infrapopliteal vessels (dependent on patient height) – in average height patients, can reach to ankle and occasionally the foot

Radial Length Devices Available

- Wires • 460 mm .035
- 475 cm .014 Viper wire (no long specialty .014 wires)
- Balloons (Multiple 200 cm delivery length balloons)
- Orbital Atherectomy up to 200cm and 355nm laser
- DCB (Medtronic has DCB with 200 cm delivery)
- Stents (Cordis 190 cm, Terumo 200 cm delivery length)
- No (DES, Wire Interwoven nitinol stents, covered stents)
- No (coronary DES for BTK)
- No (Lithoplasty Balloons)





Limitations of Radial Peripheral Cases

Should have a radial artery diameter of at least 2mm

- Radial artery spasm may be problematic
- Less backup to cross lesions and deliver devices
- Limited sheath size based on size of radial artery
- Limited devices
- Longer sheaths are more likely to thrombose during a procedure, must meticulously flush











Conclusions

- Radial access has low bleeding risk
- Radial access allows bilateral extremity treatment
- Radial access is useful in downward sloping vessels
- Radial access tools are evolving (at present they are limited)
 Present devices can routinely reach the infrapopliteal vessels and occasionally the foot vessels
- Radial artery access is being used more frequently in peripheral vascular intervention
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ We are waiting on more devices with radial length